

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,831	09/12/2003	Anthony Holden	10014201-4	7878
7590 06/15/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			YOHA, CONNIE C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/200	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1 4 11 14				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Oc	10/660,831	HOLDEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Connie C. Yoha	2827			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staff Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	September 2003.				
	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 21-37 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-37</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>21-37</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>21-37</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.				
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>	ents have been received in App	olication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
	CONN	TECTORA			
Attachmant/al	••••	YEXAMINER			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Preferences Clied (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,831

Art Unit: 2827

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are canceled.
- 3. Claims 21-37 are newly added.
- 4. Claims 21-37 are pending.

## **Double Patenting Rejection**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 21-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-20 of **U.S. Patent**No.6650562. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons set forth below.

Claim 21-37 are rejected in view of claim 1-20 of the 6650562 patent. Similar to the claimed invention, claim 1-20 of the patent recites a "a device and method for

Application/Control Number: 10/660,831

Art Unit: 2827

determining the logic state of a memory cell in a MTJ memory device comprising a biasing circuit configured to supply at least two different biasing voltages to the cell; a sensing circuit configured to measure the current flowing through the cell at each of the at least two different biasing voltages; and processing element configured to determine a ratio of the current flowing through the cell at the at least two different biasing voltages and to compare the ratio to a predetermined value." As can be seen, the patent protection for the claimed invention has already been granted to the earlier filed application.

Page 3

6. Claim 21-37 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-17, 19-22, 24-29 (renumbered 1-27) of the **US application 10/609278**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: Similar to the claimed invention, claim 1-17, 19-22, 24-29 (renumbered 1-27) of the US application 10609278, recites a "a method and a system device for determining the logic state of a MTJ memory device comprising a biasing circuit configured to supply at least two different biasing voltages to the cell; a sensing circuit configured to measure the current flowing through the cell at each of the at least two different biasing voltages; and processing element configured to compare/determine a function/ratio of at least two different biasing voltages and to compare the ratio to a threshold/predetermined value.".

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,831 Page 4

Art Unit: 2827

## Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chou et al (6639839) and Perner et al (6674679, Perner (6590804) disclose a MTJ memory device.

- 8. When responding to the office action, Applicants= are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 9. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02 (b)).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to whose telephone number is (571) 272-1799. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri. from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms, can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> should you

.

Art Unit: 2827

have questions on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. 🕴oha

June 2005

CONNIE C. YOHA
PRIMARY EXAMINER